



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,865	11/26/2003	Edward P. Szuszcwicz	EPSZ.0010000	6067
34611 7590 12/03/2008 LAW OFFICE OF DUANE S. KOBAYASHI P.O. Box 4160 Leesburg, VA 20177				
EXAMINER				
RUTLEDGE, AMELIA L.				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2176				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/721,865

Applicant(s)SZUSZCZEWICZ, EDWARD
P.**Examiner**

AMELIA RUTLEDGE

Art Unit

2176

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amelia Rutledge- USPTO.(3) Edward Szuszczevicz- applicant.(2) Duane Kobayashi - applicant's representative.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 24, 38 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yang, Morris.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Compared the features of applicant's invention to the prior art of record. Discussed applicant's specification in reference to providing support for the claimed invention under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Discussed applicant's proposed new drawings..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amelia Rutledge/
Examiner, Art Unit 2176